arXiv:1512.01207v2 [math.NT] 22 Mar 2016

ON THE SECTION CONJECTURE OVER FUNCTION FIELDS AND FINITELY GENERATED FIELDS

Mohamed Saïdi

Abstract. We investigate sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over function fields. As a consequence we prove that the anabelian section conjecture of Grothendieck holds over all finitely generated fields over $\mathbb{Q}$ if it holds over all number fields, under the condition of finiteness (of the ℓ-primary parts) of certain Shafarevich-Tate groups. We also prove that if the section conjecture holds over all number fields then it holds over all finitely generated fields for curves which are defined over a number field.

Contents

§0. Introduction
§1 Geometrically abelian fundamental groups
§2. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over local fields of equal characteristic 0
§3. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over function fields in characteristic 0
§4. Proofs of Theorems A and B
§5. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over finitely generated fields in characteristic 0

§**0. Introduction.** Let k be a characteristic 0 field and X a smooth, *projective*, and geometrically connected *hyperbolic* curve (i.e., $g(X) \geq 2$) over k. Let $\pi_1(X)$ be the *arithmetic étale fundamental* group of X which sits in the following exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1(\overline{X}) \to \pi_1(X) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} G_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1,$$

where $\overline{k}$ is an algebraic closure of k and $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$. In this paper we investigate continuous group-theoretic sections (i.e., splittings) $s : G_k \to \pi_1(X)$ of the projection $\text{pr} : \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$, which we will refer to as *sections* of $\pi_1(X)$.

Sections of $\pi_1(X)$ arise naturally from k-rational points of X. More precisely, a *rational* point $x \in X(k)$ determines a decomposition subgroup $D_x \subset \pi_1(X)$, which is defined modulo conjugation by the elements of $\pi_1(\overline{X})$, and which maps isomorphically to G_k via the projection $\text{pr} : \pi_1(X) \twoheadrightarrow G_k$. (Thus, D_x determines a splitting of the above exact sequence.) We will refer to such a section of $\pi_1(X)$ as *point-theoretic*, and say that it arises from the rational point $x \in X(k)$. We have a *set-theoretic* map

$$\varphi_X : X(k) \to \overline{\text{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X)}, \quad x \mapsto \varphi_X(x) = [s_x],$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X)}$ is the set of *conjugacy classes* of sections of $\pi_1(X)$, modulo conjugation by the elements of $\pi_1(\overline{X})$, and $[s_x]$ denotes the image (i.e., conjugacy class) of a section s_x associated to $x \in X(k)$.

Definition 0.1. **(i)** We say that the **SC** (section conjecture) *holds* for X, over k, if the above map $\varphi_X : X(k) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X)}$ is *bijective*.
(ii) We say that the **SC** *holds* over k if the **SC** holds for *every* smooth, projective, and geometrically connected hyperbolic curve X over k (cf. (i)).

In his seminal letter to Faltings, Grothendieck formulated the following conjecture (cf. [Grothendieck]).

Grothendieck's Anabelian Section Conjecture (GASC). *Assume that k is finitely generated over the prime field $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the* **SC** *holds over k.*

The injectivity of the map φ_X if k is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$, or more generally if k is a sub-p-adic field, is well-known (cf. [Mochizuki], Theorem C). The statement of the **GASC** is thus equivalent to the surjectivity of the map φ_X, i.e., that *every section of $\pi_1(X)$ is point-theoretic* under the above assumptions on the field k. The **GASC**, even over number fields, is still wide open. More generally, one can ask: *what are all fields (of characteristic 0) for which the* **SC** *holds*? In this paper we investigate *the section conjecture over function fields of curves in characteristic* 0.

Given a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k with function field $K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} k(C)$, an *abelian scheme* $\mathcal{A} \to C$ with generic fibre $A \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$, define the *Shafarevich-Tate group*

$$Ш(\mathcal{A}) = Ш(A, C) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}\big(H^1(G_K, A) \to \prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} H^1(G_{K_c}, A_c)\big),$$

where $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ is a closed point, K_c is the completion of K at c, $A_c \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} A \times_K K_c$, and the product is over *all* closed points of C.

Definition 0.2. Let k' be a field with $\mathrm{char}(k') = 0$. Consider the following conditions.
(i) The ℓ'-cyclotomic character $\chi_{\ell'} : G_{k'} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\ell'}^{\times}$ is *non-Tate* in the sense of [Cadoret-Tamagawa] (§2, Definition), meaning that the 1 dimensional ℓ'-adic representation $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell'}(1)$ doesn't appear as a sub-representation of the representation arising from the ℓ'-adic Tate module of an abelian variety over k'; $\forall$ prime integer ℓ'.
(ii) The **SC** holds over k'.
(iii) Given a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k', with function field $K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} k'(C)$, an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \to C$, then $TШ(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.
(iv) Given an abelian variety A over k', the group of k'-rational points $A(k')$, and a quotient $A(k') \twoheadrightarrow D$, the following hold.
(a) The natural map $D \to D^{\wedge}$ (cf. Notations) is *injective*.
(b) The torsion group $D[N]$ is *finite* $\forall N \geq 1$, and $TD = 0$ (cf. Notations).
(v) Given a function field $K = k'(C)$ as in (iii), K admits a structure of Hausdorff topological field so that $X(K)$ becomes *compact* for any proper, smooth, and geometrically connected *hyperbolic* curve over K.
(vi) Given a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k' with function field $K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} k'(C)$, a finite *étale* morphism $\widetilde{C} \to C$, then the following hold. If

$\widetilde{C}_c(k'(c)) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ with residue field $k'(c)$ and where $\widetilde{C}_c$ is the scheme-theoretic inverse image of c in $\widetilde{C}$, then $\widetilde{C}(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Given a field k with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, we say that k *strongly* satisfies one of the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi) above, if this condition is satisfied by any *finite* extension k'/k of k. We say that the field k *satisfies the condition* $(\star)$ if k *strongly* satisfies each of the conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), and (vi).

Conditions (i), (iv), (v), and (vi) above are satisfied by *finitely generated* fields over $\mathbb{Q}$. In this case, (i) follows from the theory of weights, (iv) follows from the Mordell-Weil and Lang-Néron Theorems, (v) follows (for the discrete topology) from Mordell's conjecture: Faltings' Theorem and Néron's specialisation Theorem, and (vi) follows from the Hilbertian property (cf. Lemma 4.1.5) which holds for finitely generated fields. It is not known in general if condition (iii) holds over such fields (cf. [Saïdi-Tamagawa] for some partial results).

One of our main results in this paper are the following.

Theorem A. *Let k be a field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ and C a separated, smooth, and connected curve over k with function field $K = k(C)$. Assume that k strongly satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), (iv), (v), and (vi) in Definition 0.2. Let $\mathcal{X} \to C$ be a flat, proper, and smooth relative curve, with generic fibre $X \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ which is a geometrically connected hyperbolic curve over K, and $\mathcal{J} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{X}/C}$ its relative jacobian. Assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, and $T\text{Ш}(\mathcal{J}) = 0$. Then the* **SC** *holds for X (over K).*

Theorem B. *Let k be a field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, and $K = k(C)$ the function field of a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k. Assume that k satisfies the condition $(\star)$ (cf. Definition 0.2). Then K strongly satisfies the condition (ii). Equivalently, if L/K is a finite extension then the* **SC** *holds over L.*

In the case of *finitely generated fields* one obtains immediately from Theorem A, and Theorem B; respectively, the following Corollaries.

Corollary A. *Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all finitely generated fields over $\mathbb{Q}$ of transcendence degree $i \geq 0$. Let k be a field with $\mathrm{tr\,deg}_{\mathbb{Q}}\, k = i$ and C a separated, smooth, and connected curve over k with function field $K = k(C)$. Let $\mathcal{X} \to C$ be a flat, proper, and smooth relative curve, with generic fibre $X \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ which is a geometrically connected hyperbolic curve over K, and $\mathcal{J} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{X}/C}$ its relative jacobian. Assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, and $T\text{Ш}(\mathcal{J}) = 0$. Then the* **SC** *holds for X (over K).*

Corollary B. *Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all number fields (i.e., all finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$) and that the condition (iii) (in Definition 0.2) holds for any field k' which is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the* **SC** *holds over all finitely generated fields over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Recently, with Akio Tamagawa, we proved that the Shafarevich-Tate group $\text{Ш}(\mathcal{J})$ in Theorem A is indeed *finite* if the jacobian $J \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/K}$ of X is (isogenous to) a *constant* abelian variety, i.e., with the notations in Definition 0.2 the condition (iii) holds for abelian schemes $\mathcal{A} \to C$ such that $A \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ (is isogenous to an abelian variety which) descends (over $\overline{K}$) to an abelian variety defined over a finite extension of k' (cf. [Saïdi-Tamagawa], Theorem H). As a consequence of this

result, and Theorem A, one deduces (using an induction argument) the following (cf. Corollary 5.3).

Theorem C. *Let K be a finitely generated field over $\mathbb{Q}$ with algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\overline{K}$. Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all number fields. Then the* **SC** *holds for every projective smooth and geometrically connected hyperbolic curve X over K such that $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} K} \operatorname{Spec} \overline{K}$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

Our method to prove Theorem A relies on a *local-global* approach and follows from a thorough investigation of sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over *local fields of equal characteristic* 0, and over *function fields of curves in characteristic* 0. Next, we explain the content of each section. In §1 we establish some basic facts on *geometrically abelian* fundamental groups and their sections. In §2 we investigate (under the assumption that condition (i) holds) sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over *local fields of equal characteristic* 0. We observe that the section conjecture **SC** *doesn't hold over local fields of equal characteristic* 0 (cf. Lemma 2.1.3 and Proposition 2.3.1). We discuss those sections which are point-theoretic in the case of stable curves (cf. Lemma 2.1.4 and Lemma 2.2.2). In §3 we investigate sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over *function fields* (of transcendence degree 1), and establish some of the basic techniques and facts in order to investigate their point-theoreticity via a *local-global* approach. In §4 we prove Theorem A and explain how Theorem B can be derived from Theorem A. Finally, in §5 we relate the results and techniques of §3, and §4, to the results and framework in [Saïdi-Tamagawa]. As a consequence, we prove some variants of Theorems A and B involving a condition of finiteness of the ℓ-primary parts of certain Shafarevich-Tate groups (cf. Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.4), and deduce Theorem C.

Theorems A, B, and C concern sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of *proper* curves over function fields and finitely generated fields. One can prove similar results for *non-cuspidal* sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of *affine* curves over function fields and finitely generated field, as well as for the birational version of the section conjecture (cf. [Saïdi2]).

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Akio Tamagawa for several discussions we had on the topic of this paper, as well as for his help with some technical details. Part of this work was done while the author was visiting the Research Institute of Mathematical Sciences (RIMS) of Kyoto university. I would like very much to thank the members of the Institute for their hospitality.

Notations. Given a scheme Y over a field L with algebraic closure $\overline{L}$ we write $Y_{\overline{L}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y \times_{\operatorname{Spec} L} \operatorname{Spec} \overline{L}$ for the geometric fibre of Y. Given a scheme C, a field L, $Y \to C$ and $\operatorname{Spec} L \to C$ morphisms of schemes, we write $Y_L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y \times_C \operatorname{Spec} L$. For an algebraic group G over a field L of characteristic 0, with algebraic closure $\overline{L}$, we write $TG \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{N \geq 1} G[N](\overline{L})$ for the Tate module of G, where $G[N] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Ker}(G \stackrel{[N]}{\longrightarrow} G)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism of multiplication by N. For a profinite group H we write H^{ab} for the maximal abelian quotient of H. For an abelian group D we write $D^{\wedge} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{N \geq 1} D/ND$, where $ND \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{N.a \mid a \in D\}$. Given an integer $N \geq 1$, we write $D[N] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in D \mid N.b = 0\}$, and $TD \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varprojlim_{N \geq 1} D[N]$ for the Tate module of D.

§**1 Geometrically abelian fundamental groups.** Let K be a field of characteristic 0, $X \to \operatorname{Spec} K$ a proper, smooth, and geometrically connected *hyperbolic curve* over K. Let ξ be a geometric point of X with value in its generic point. Thus, ξ determines an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K. Write $\overline{\xi}$ for the geometric point of $X_{\overline{K}}$ which is induced by ξ. We have an exact sequence of étale fundamental groups

$$1 \to \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) \to \pi_1(X, \xi) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} G_K \to 1, \tag{1.1}$$

where $G_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. Write

$$\pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\text{ab})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1(X, \xi)/\operatorname{Ker}(\pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\text{ab}}).$$

We will refer to $\pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\text{ab})}$ as the *geometrically abelian* quotient of $\pi_1(X, \xi)$.

Assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Write $J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Pic}^0_{X/K}$ for the jacobian variety of X, and $\iota : X \to J$ for the embedding which maps a rational point $x_0 \in X(K)$ to the zero section of J. Then ι induces a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\text{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\text{ab})} & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \text{id}} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(J_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(J, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{1.2}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms, hence an identification of G_K-modules $\pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\text{ab}} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \pi_1(J_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} TJ$. Let

$$s : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)$$

be a *section* of $\pi_1(X, \xi)$. Then s induces a *section*

$$s^{\text{ab}} : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\text{ab})}$$

of the projection $\pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\text{ab})} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$. The set of splittings of the upper sequence in diagram (1.2) is, up to conjugation by the elements of $\pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\text{ab}}$, a torsor under the Galois cohomology group $H^1(G_K, \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\text{ab}}) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} H^1(G_K, TJ)$. We fix a *base point* of the torsor of splittings of this exact sequence to be the splitting arising from the zero section of J, i.e., the splitting arising from the rational point $x_0 \in X(K)$ (cf. above discussion). Then the above (conjugacy class of the) section s^{ab} corresponds to an element

$$s^{\text{ab}} \in H^1(G_K, TJ).$$

We will refer to s^{ab} as the *abelian portion* of the section s.

The Kummer exact sequences $0 \to J[N] \to J \xrightarrow{N} J \to 0$, $\forall N \geq 1$, induce an exact sequence; the so-called *Kummer exact sequence*

$$0 \to J(K)^\wedge \to H^1(G_K, TJ) \to TH^1(G_K, J) \to 0. \tag{1.3}$$

We will identify $J(K)^\wedge$ with its image in $H^1(G_K, TJ)$ via the above Kummer map $J(K)^\wedge \to H^1(G_K, TJ)$. Note that there exist natural maps $X(K) \stackrel{\iota}{\to} J(K) \to J(K)^\wedge$, where for $x \in X(K)$ the image $\iota(x)$ is the class $[x - x_0]$ of the degree 0 divisor $x - x_0$.

Definition 1.1. Let $\eta \in H^1(G_K, TJ)$.
(i) We say that η is *pro-geometric* if η lies in the subgroup $J(K)^\wedge$ of $H^1(G_K, TJ)$.
(ii) We say that η is *geometric* if η is in the image of the natural composite homomorphism $J(K) \to J(K)^\wedge \to H^1(G_K, TJ)$.
(iii) We say that η is *point-theoretic* if η is in the image of the composite map $X(K) \stackrel{\iota}{\to} J(K) \to J(K)^\wedge \to H^1(G_K, TJ)$.

The following Lemma follows easily from the various definitions.

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose that the section $s = s_x$, $x \in X(K)$, is point-theoretic (cf. §0). Then $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_K, TJ)$ is point-theoretic (cf. Definition 1.1(iii)), and s^{ab} is the image of x via the composite map $X(K) \stackrel{\iota}{\to} J(K) \to J(K)^\wedge \to H^1(G_K, TJ)$. In particular, s^{ab} is pro-geometric and geometric (cf. Definition 1.1(i)(ii)).*

§2. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over local fields of equal characteristic 0. In this section K is a *complete discrete valuation field* of *equal characteristics* 0, $\mathcal{O}_K$ its valuation ring, and k its residue field. We use the notations introduced in §0 and §1. Moreover, we *assume that k satisfies the condition (i) in Definition 0.2*, unless we specify otherwise.

Let $X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_K$ be a flat, proper, *stable*, and geometrically connected (relative) curve over $\mathcal{O}_K$, with X_K *smooth*. Assume that the irreducible components $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $X_k = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ are *smooth*, *geometrically connected*, and the singular points $\{x_j\}_{j \in J}$ of X_k are *k-rational*. Let ξ (resp. ξ') be a geometric point of X with value in the generic point of X (resp. with value in the generic point of some irreducible component X_{i_0} of X_k). Thus, ξ (resp. ξ') determines an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ (resp. $\overline{k}$) of K (resp. k). We have natural exact sequences of *arithmetic "admissible" fundamental groups*

$$1 \to \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi) \to G_K \to 1,$$

and

$$1 \to \pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\xi}')^{\mathrm{adm}} \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}} \to G_K \to 1,$$

where $G_K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, and the geometric point $\overline{\xi}$ (resp. $\overline{\xi}'$) is naturally induced by ξ (resp. ξ'). Here, the superscript "adm" means *admissible fundamental group* (cf. [Mochizuki1], Definition 2.7, for more details on the definition of $\pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\xi}')^{\mathrm{adm}}$. We also refer to [Mochizuki1], the discussion before Definition 2.1 as well as the discussion between Definition 2.5 and Definition 2.7 for the precise definition of the log structures on X_k, $\operatorname{Spec} K$ and a lifting of $X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ involved in the definition of $\pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\xi}')^{\mathrm{adm}}$). Moreover, after a suitable choice of ξ and ξ' we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_K, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Sp}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \overline{\xi}')^{\mathrm{adm}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}} & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where the middle and left vertical maps are continuous homomorphisms of *"specialisation"*, which are isomorphisms since $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$ (cf. loc. cit. Definition 2.4, Lemma 2.6, and the discussion before Definition 2.7). We assume that X_K is *hyperbolic*, i.e., $g(X_K) \geq 2$. We have an exact sequence $1 \to I_K \to G_K \to G_k \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k) \to 1$ where I_K is the *inertia* group. Moreover, $I_K \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ where the "(1)" is a Tate twist.

2.1. The good reduction case. Assume that X is *smooth*. In this case we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences of arithmetic fundamental groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \bar{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_K, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Sp}}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \bar{\xi}') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_k, \xi') & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

where the middle vertical map is the surjective homomorphism of *specialisation* (defined up to conjugation, cf. [Grothendieck1], Exposé X, §2), the left vertical map is an isomorphism (since $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$), and the right vertical map is a surjection.

Lemma 2.1.1. *The followings hold.*
(i) The right square in diagram (2.1) is cartesian.
(ii) The projection $\pi_1(X_K, \xi) \twoheadrightarrow G_K$ induces a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Sp}) \xrightarrow{\sim} I_K$ (i.e., maps $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Sp})$ isomorphically onto I_K).

Proof. The proof of (i) is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3.2 in [Saïdi]. Assertion (ii) is clear in light of (i). □

Let $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ be a *section* of $\pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ so that it induces, by composing with the specialisation homomorphism $\mathrm{Sp} : \pi_1(X_K, \xi) \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$, a continuous homomorphism $s' \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sp} \circ s : G_K \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$.

Lemma 2.1.2. *The equality $\mathrm{Ker}(s') = I_K$ holds. In particular, s' factorizes through G_k and induces a section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ of $\pi_1(X_k, \xi')$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the image $s'(I_K)$ of the inertia subgroup in $\pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ is trivial. This image is contained in $\pi_1(X_{\overline{k}}, \bar{\xi}')$ by diagram (2.1). A standard (well-known) weight argument, using the fact that k satisfies the condition (i) in Definition 0.2, shows that this image must be trivial (cf. [Hoshi-Mochizuki], Lemma 1.6). □

Assume that the section s is *point-theoretic*, i.e., $s = s_x : G_K \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ is associated to a *rational point* $x \in X(K)$ (cf. §0). Let $\overline{x} \in X(k)$ be the *specialisation* of the point x. Then one verifies easily that the section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ of $\pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ which is induced by s (cf. Lemma 2.1.2) is *point-theoretic* and arises from the k-rational point $\overline{x}$, i.e., $[\tilde{s}] = [s_{\overline{x}}]$ holds in $\overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X_k, \xi')}$.

Lemma 2.1.3. *We use the same notations as above. Let $x' \in X(K)$ be a rational point which specialises in $\overline{x}$. Then $[s_x] = [s_{x'}]$. In particular, the map $\varphi_X : X(K) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X_K, \xi)}$ (cf. §0) is not injective.*

Proof. Indeed, it follows immediately from lemma 2.1.1(i) and Lemma 2.1.2 that a section $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ of $\pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ is uniquely determined by the continuous homomorphism $s' \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sp} \circ s : G_K \twoheadrightarrow G_K/I_K \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ that it induces. In particular, all rational points $x' \in X(K)$ which specialise in $\overline{x}$ (there are infinitely many such points x') give rise to the same conjugacy class of sections of $\pi_1(X_K, \xi)$, from which the second assertion follows. □

Conversely we have the following.

Lemma 2.1.4. *Assume that the section $\tilde{s} : G_k \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ of $\pi_1(X_k, \xi')$ which is induced by s (cf. Lemma 2.1.2) is point-theoretic, i.e., $\tilde{s} = s_{\overline{x}}$ for some k-rational point $\overline{x} \in X(k)$. Then the section s is point-theoretic, i.e., $s = s_x$ for some (non unique) $x \in X(K)$ which specialises in the point $\overline{x}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X(K)$ be a rational point which specialises in $\overline{x}$ (such a point x exists since X is smooth). Then $[s] = [s_x]$ holds by the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 2.1.3. $\square$

2.2. The bad reduction case. In this section, and in addition to our assumptions, we will *assume that k satisfies the condition (iv)(a) in Definition 0.2* unless we specify otherwise. We suppose that X_k is *singular*. Recall that the irreducible components $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ of $X_k = \sum_{i\in I} X_i$ are *smooth*, *geometrically connected*, and the singular points $\{x_j\}_{j\in J}$ of X_k are all *k-rational*.

Let X_i be an irreducible component of X_k and $D_{X_i} \subset \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}}$ a *decomposition group* associated to X_i. Thus, D_{X_i} is the decomposition group of an irreducible component of the special fibre of the universal admissible cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X which lies above the component X_i, and D_{X_i} is only defined up to conjugation (cf. [Mochizuki1], discussion before Proposition 4.1). Let $\overline{X}_i$ be the (unique, since X_i is geometrically connected) irreducible component of $X_{\overline{k}}$ above X_i. Then we have the following commutative diagram.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & D_{\overline{X}_i} & \longrightarrow & D_{X_i} & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{X}_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{U}_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}} & \longrightarrow & G_k & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\tag{2.3}
$$

Here, $D_{\overline{X}_i}$ is defined so that the upper horizontal sequence is exact, $*$ denote suitable base points, and $\pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}}$ denotes the admissible fundamental group of X_i which is marked by the *cusps*, i.e., the double points of X_k lying on X_i (cf. [Mochizuki1], Proposition 4.2 and the discussion before it which explains the log structure on X_i and the choice of the base point $*$ involved in the definition of $\pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}}$), $\pi_1(\overline{X}_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}(\pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} \twoheadrightarrow G_K)$, $U_i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X_i \setminus \{\text{cusps}\}$, and $\overline{U}_i \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \overline{X}_i \setminus \{\text{cusps}\}$, respectively. The superscript "tame" means *tame* fundamental group. The left and middle upper vertical maps are isomorphisms defined up to conjugation (cf. loc. cit.), and the lower right square is cartesian. Note that $\pi_1(\overline{U}_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}} = \pi_1(\overline{U}_i, *)$, and $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}} = \pi_1(U_i, *)$, since $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$.

Let $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi)$ be a *section* of $\pi_1(X_K, \xi)$, which induces a section $s' \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sp} \circ s : G_K \to \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}}$ of the projection $\pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$ (cf. diagram (2.1)). Suppose that X is *regular* and s is *point-theoretic*, i.e., $s = s_x$ arises from a rational point $x \in X(K)$ (cf. §0). Then the K-rational point x *specialises* in a rational point $\overline{x} \in X(k)$ which is a *smooth* point of X_k and lies on a unique irreducible component X_i of X_k (cf. [Liu], Corollary 9.1.32). Moreover, it follows from the various definitions that $s(G_K) \subset D_{X_i} \subset \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}}$ holds, where D_{X_i} is a *decomposition group* associated to X_i (cf. above discussion). In particular,

the section $s = s_x$ induces a section $s_i : G_K \to \pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}}$ of the projection $\pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$, and a continuous homomorphism $\tilde{s}_i : G_K \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ (cf. diagram (2.3)).

Lemma 2.2.1. *The following hold.*
(i) The section s_i is unramified, i.e., $\tilde{s}_i(I_K) = \{1\}$. In particular, $\tilde{s}_i$ induces a section $\overline{s}_i : G_k \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ of $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$.
(ii) The section $\overline{s}_i : G_k \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ in (i) is point-theoretic and arises from the k-rational point $\overline{x} \in U_i(k)$. Moreover, the section $\overline{s}_i$ is non-cuspidal, i.e., $\overline{s}_i(G_k)$ is not contained in a decomposition group associated to a cusp. $\square$

Proof. Note that U_i is hyperbolic since X is stable. Assertion (i) follows from the assumptions (i) and (iv)(a). First, assumption (iv)(a) implies that the closed points of X_i are uniquely determined by their corresponding (conjugacy classes of) decomposition groups in $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$, such a decomposition group is self-normalising in $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$, and no non-cuspidal decomposition group is contained in a cuspidal decomposition group (cf. the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [Mochizuki2], and [Tamagawa], Proposition 2.8(i)). Second, if $\tilde{s}_i(I_K)$ is non trivial then one shows, using the condition (i), that $\tilde{s}_i(I_K) \subseteq \pi_1(\overline{U}_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ would be a non-trivial (necessarily torsion-free) procyclic group contained in an inertia group I_y at a cusp $y \in X_i \setminus U_i$ (cf. [Hoshi-Mochizuki], Lemma 1.6). Moreover, $\tilde{s}_i(G_K)$ (which normalises $\tilde{s}_i(I_K)$) is contained in a decomposition group associated to y and the latter would contain a decomposition group associated to $\overline{x}$, which is a contradiction (cf. above discussion). Assertion (ii) follows easily. $\square$

Conversely, suppose that the section s satisfies $s(G_K) \subset D_{X_i}$, i.e., the image of s is contained in a decomposition group associated to an irreducible component X_i of X_s. Thus, s induces a section $s_i : G_K \to \pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}}$ of the projection $\pi_1(X_i, *)^{\mathrm{adm}} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$ which induces a homomorphism $\tilde{s}_i : G_K \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ (cf. diagram (2.2)). Assume further that s_i is *unramified*, i.e., $\tilde{s}_i(I_K) = \{1\}$. Then $\tilde{s}_i$ induces naturally a section $\overline{s}_i : G_k \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ of $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ (cf. diagram (2.2)).

Lemma 2.2.2. *With the same notations/assumptions as above suppose that the section $\overline{s}_i : G_k \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ is point-theoretic and arises from a rational point $\overline{x} \in U_i(k)$. Then the section s is point-theoretic and arises from a (non unique) rational point $x \in X(K)$ which specialises in the point $\overline{x} \in U_i(k)$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.4. $\square$

2.3. Next, we provide examples of sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of hyperbolic curves over local fields of equal characteristic 0 which are *not point-theoretic*. We use the notations and assumptions in 2.2. Let X be a *regular and stable $\mathcal{O}_K$-curve* as above satisfying the conditions in 2.2. Let $x_j \in X(k)$ be a *k-rational double point* of X_k and write $D_{x_j} \subset \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}}$ for the *decomposition group* of x_j. Thus, D_{x_j} is the decomposition group of a closed point of the special fibre of the universal admissible cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X which lies above the double point x_j, and D_{x_j} is only defined up to conjugation (cf. [Mochizuki1], §5 and §6). We have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \Delta_{x_j} \to D_{x_j} \to G_K \to 1,$$

where Δ_{x_j} is the kernel of the projection $D_{x_j} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$. Moreover, there exists a natural isomorphism $\Delta_{x_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ (cf. loc. cit.). The profinite group D_{x_j} is

isomorphic to the admissible fundamental group $\pi_1^{\mathrm{adm}}(\mathcal{X})$ of $\mathcal{X} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Spec}\, \frac{\mathcal{O}_K[[S,T]]}{(ST-\pi)}$, where π is a uniformiser of $\mathcal{O}_K$ (cf. loc. cit.). The above exact sequence splits. Indeed, the (admissible) covers $\mathcal{Y}_N \to \mathcal{X}$ defined generically by extracting an N-th root of S with $\mathcal{Y}_N$ normal, for all integers $N \geq 1$, define a splitting of this sequence. Such a splitting induces a section $s_{x_j} : G_K \to \pi_1(X_K, \xi) \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \pi_1(X_k, \xi')^{\mathrm{adm}}$ of $\pi_1(X_K, \xi)$. The section s_{x_j} is *not point-theoretic*. Indeed, if s_{x_j} arises from a rational point $x \in X(K)$, then x specialises in a smooth non-cuspidal point of an irreducible component X_i (cf. the discussion before Lemma 2.2.1) which is necessarily adjacent to an irreducible component $X_{i'}$ passing through x_j (cf. [Hoshi-Mochizuki1], Corollary 1.15(iv)(c)(d)). Let $s_i : G_k \to \pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ be the section of $\pi_1(U_i, *)^{\mathrm{tame}}$ which is induced by s_{x_j} (cf. Lemma 2.2.1.). This section (which is non-cuspidal) would then be cuspidal which contradicts Lemma 2.2.1(ii).

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let K be a complete discrete valuation field with residue field k of characteristic 0. Assume that k satisfies the conditions (i) and (iv)(a) (cf. Definition 0.2). Then there exists a proper, smooth, geometrically connected hyperbolic curve C over K, and a section $s : G_K \to \pi_1(C, *)$ of $\pi_1(C, *)$ which is not point-theoretic.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{O}_K$ for the valuation ring of K. Using formal patching techniques one can construct a proper, stable, and regular $\mathcal{O}_K$-curve X satisfying the assumptions in 2.2. In particular, $C \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} X_K$ is smooth, hyperbolic, geometrically connected, and the double points of X_k are k-rational (compare with [Saïdi1], Lemma 6.3). As in the above discussion (before Proposition 2.3.1), let $s_{x_j} : G_K \to \pi_1(C, *)$ be a section of $\pi_1(C, *)$ arising from a double point x_j of the special fibre X_s of X. Then s_{x_j} is not point-theoretic as explained above. $\square$

§3. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over function fields in characteristic 0. We use the notations introduced in §1 and §2. In this section k is a field of characteristic 0 which *strongly satisfies* the condition (i) in Definition 0.2. Let C be a separated, smooth, and connected algebraic curve over k with function field $K \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} k(C)$. Let $\mathcal{X} \to C$ be a *flat, proper, and smooth relative curve* with generic fibre $X \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ which is geometrically connected and *hyperbolic* (i.e. $g(X) \geq 2$). Let $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ be a closed point and $\mathcal{X}_c \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, k(c)$ the fibre of $\mathcal{X}$ at c. Let ξ (resp. ξ_c) be a geometric point of $\mathcal{X}$ with value in its generic point (resp. with value in the generic point of $\mathcal{X}_c$). Then ξ (resp. ξ_c) determines an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ (resp. $\overline{k(c)}$) of K (resp. of the residue field $k(c)$ of C at c).

Lemma 3.1. *For $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ there exists a commutative diagram*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, \xi) & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & \mathrm{id}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
(3.1) & & 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C, \xi) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k(c)}}, \overline{\xi_c}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c) & \longrightarrow & G_{k(c)} & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
$$

where $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, $G_{k(c)} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k(c)}/k(c))$, $\overline{\xi}$ *(resp.* $\overline{\xi_c}$*) are geometric points induced by* ξ *(resp.* ξ_c*), the middle and right upper vertical maps are natural continuous surjective homomorphisms, the middle lower vertical map is defined up to conjugation, the right lower vertical map is injective, and both squares on the right are cartesian.*

Proof. Follows from the functoriality of fundamental groups and the homotopy exact sequence for π_1 (cf. [Grothendieck1], Éxposé XIII, §4). □

Let $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)$ be a *section* of $\pi_1(X, \xi)$.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a section* $s_C : \pi_1(C, \xi) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi)$ *of the projection* $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(C, \xi)$*, for each closed point* $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ *the section* s_C *restricts to a section* $s_c : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$ *of* $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$*, and we have a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_K & \xrightarrow{s} & \pi_1(X, \xi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_1(C, \xi) & \xrightarrow{s_C} & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ G_{k(c)} & \xrightarrow{s_c} & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c) \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

where the vertical maps are the ones in diagram (3.1).

Proof. Follows easily from Lemma 2.1.2 and Lemma 3.1. □

From now on we assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{J} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{X}/C} \to C$ be the (relative) jacobian of the (relative) smooth and proper curve $\mathcal{X} \to C$, $J \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{J}_K$ the jacobian variety of X, $\mathcal{J}_c \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{J}_{k(c)}$ the jacobian variety of $\mathcal{X}_c$, and $J_c \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} J_{K_c}$ the jacobian variety of X_{K_c}, where $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ and K_c is the *completion* of K at c. The commutative diagram (3.1) induces a commutative diagram of exact sequences of *geometrically abelian fundamental groups* (cf. §1)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})} & \longrightarrow & G_K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \mathrm{id}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(C, \xi) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k(c)}}, \overline{\xi_c})^{\mathrm{ab}} & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)^{(\mathrm{ab})} & \longrightarrow & G_{k(c)} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

satisfying similar properties as in Lemma 3.1. Fix a section $x \in \mathcal{X}(C) = X(K)$ of $\mathcal{X} \to C$, and the embedding $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{J}$ mapping x to the zero section. We identify $\pi_1(X_{\overline{K}}, \overline{\xi})^{\mathrm{ab}}$ (resp. $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{k(c)}}, \overline{\xi_c})^{\mathrm{ab}}$) with the Tate module TJ (resp. $T\mathcal{J}_c$), and TJ_c with $T\mathcal{J}_c$ (K_c is a complete discrete valuation ring of equal characteristic 0).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. We have a commutative diagram of Kummer exact sequences (cf. §1)*

(3.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J(K_c)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c) & \longrightarrow & TH^1(G_{K_c}, J_c) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_c(k(c))^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c) & \longrightarrow & TH^1(G_{k(c)}, \mathcal{J}_c) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical map is the inflation map, the left vertical map is an isomorphism, the middle and right vertical maps are injective.

Proof. Follows from the fact that there exists an isomorphism $H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K_c^{\mathrm{ur}}/K_c), TJ_c)$ where K_c^{ur}/K_c denotes the maximal unramified subextension of $\overline{K_c}/K_c$, and the fact that the kernel of the specialisation map $J_c(K_c) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{J}_c(k(c))$ is uniquely divisible (cf. [Lang-Tate], Proposition 8). (See also the commutative diagram in loc. cit. page 675.) $\square$

With the notations in Lemma 3.3 we will identify $H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$ with its image in $H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$. Next, we fix the base point of the torsor of splittings of the middle horizontal sequence in diagram (3.3) arising form the section $x \in \mathcal{X}(C)$, and the corresponding base points of the torsor of splittings of the upper and lower horizontal sequences in diagram (3.3). The sections $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)$, $s_C : \pi_1(C, \xi) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi)$, and $s_c : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$ (cf. Lemma 3.2), give rise naturally to sections $s^{\mathrm{ab}} : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})}$, $s_C^{\mathrm{ab}} : \pi_1(C, \xi) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})}$, $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)^{(\mathrm{ab})}$, of the projections $\pi_1(X, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})} \twoheadrightarrow G_K$, $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}, \xi)^{(\mathrm{ab})} \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(C, \xi)$, and $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)^{(\mathrm{ab})} \twoheadrightarrow G_{k(c)}$; respectively, which correspond to elements $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_K, TJ)$, $s_C^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(\pi_1(C, \xi), TJ)$, and $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$, for $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. We have a commutative diagram of homomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\pi_1(C, \xi), TJ) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, TJ) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are injective inflation maps and the vertical maps are restriction homomorphisms, in which s_C^{ab} maps to s^{ab} (resp. s_c^{ab}). In particular, the images of s^{ab} and s_c^{ab} in $H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$ are equal. Moreover, assume that the section s_c is point-theoretic, then s_c^{ab} is point-theoretic (cf. Definition 1.1(iii)). In particular, s_c^{ab} is pro-geometric both as an element of $H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$ and $H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$. (cf. Definition 1.1(i)).

Proof. The first assertion is easily verified. The second assertion follows from the various definitions (cf. Lemma 1.2), and Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Next, consider the following commutative diagram

(3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J(K)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, TJ) & \longrightarrow & TH^1(G_K, J) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_c J_c(K_c)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & \prod_c H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c) & \longrightarrow & \prod_c TH^1(G_{K_c}, J_c) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are the Kummer exact sequences, the vertical maps are the diagonal mappings, and the product in the lower sequence is over *all* closed points $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. The image of $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_K, TJ)$ in $H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$ via the above vertical map coincides with the element $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c) \subset H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. Lemma 3.4). Recall (cf. §0)

$$Ш(\mathcal{J}) = Ш(J, C) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}\big(H^1(G_K, J) \to \prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} H^1(G_{K_c}, J_c)\big).$$

Note that the kernel of the map $TH^1(G_K, J) \to \prod_{c \in C} TH^1(G_{K_c}, J_c)$ in diagram (3.5) is the Tate module $TШ(\mathcal{J})$ of the Shafarevich-Tate group $Ш(\mathcal{J})$. The following is immediate from the various definitions.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$ is pro-geometric (cf. Definition 1.1(i)) $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $TШ(\mathcal{J}) = 0$. Then $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)^\wedge$ is pro-geometric.*

§**4. Proofs of Theorems A and B.** Next, we prove Theorems A and B.

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. Recall the notations introduced in §3 that we will use throughout. Let k, C, $K = k(C)$, $\mathcal{X} \to C$, and $X \to \mathrm{Spec}\, K$, be as in §3. We assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, and k *strongly satisfies the conditions* (i), (ii), (iv), (v), and (vi), in Definition 0.2. Let $\mathcal{J} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{X}/C}$ be the relative jacobian of $\mathcal{X} \to C$, and assume that $TШ(\mathcal{J}) = 0$. We will show that the map (cf. §0)

$$\varphi_X : X(K) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X,\xi)}, \qquad x \mapsto \varphi_X(x) = [s_x],$$

is *bijective*.

First, we prove φ_X is *injective*. Let $x_1, x_2 \in X(K)$ such that $[s_1 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} s_{x_1}] = [s_2 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} s_{x_2}]$ holds in $\overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X,\xi)}$. Thus, $[s_{1,c}] = [s_{2,c}]$ in $\overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c,\xi_c)}$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. Lemma 3.2), and $s_{i,c} = s_{x_{i,c}}$ is point-theoretic, where $x_{i,c} \in \mathcal{X}_c(k(c))$ is uniquely determined by $s_{i,c}$, for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, as we assumed that k strongly satisfies (ii). The map $\varphi_{\mathcal{X}_c} : \mathcal{X}_c(k(c)) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c,\xi_c)}$ is bijective by assumption, hence $x_{1,c} = x_{2,c}$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. Moreover, $x_{i,c}$ is the specialisation of x_i in $\mathcal{X}_c$ (cf. discussion before Lemma 2.1.3). From this it follows that $x_1 = x_2$ and φ_X is injective. Indeed, the natural specialisation map $X(K) \to \prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} \mathcal{X}_c(k(c))$ is injective.

Next, we prove that φ_X is *surjective*. Let

$$s : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)$$

be a *section* of $\pi_1(X, \xi)$. We will show that s is *point-theoretic* under the above assumptions. First, we have a digram (3.2) and it follows from the condition (ii) that the section s_c (cf. loc. cit.) is point-theoretic and arises from a unique rational point $x_c \in \mathcal{X}(k(c))$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. Moreover, $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K_c)^\wedge \subset H^1(G_{K_c}, TJ_c)$ is pro-geometric in the sense of Definition 1.1(i), $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. Lemma 3.4). Then it follows from the assumption $TШ(\mathcal{J}) = 0$ that $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)^\wedge \subset H^1(G_K, TJ)$ is pro-geometric (cf. Lemma 3.5).

Lemma 4.1.1. *The natural homomorphism $J(K) \to J(K)^\wedge$ is injective and $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K) \subseteq J(K)^\wedge$ is geometric.*

Proof. There exist closed points $c_1, c_2 \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that the natural specialisation homomorphism $J(K) \to \mathcal{J}_{c_1}(k(c_1)) \times \mathcal{J}_{c_2}(k(c_2))$ is injective (cf. [Poonen-Voloch], Proposition 2.4). We have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J(K) & \longrightarrow & H \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{J}_{c_1}(k(c_1)) \times \mathcal{J}_{c_2}(k(c_2)) & \longrightarrow & H/J(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J(K)^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & H^{\wedge} = \mathcal{J}_{c_1}(k(c_1))^{\wedge} \times \mathcal{J}_{c_2}(k(c_2))^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & (H/J(K))^{\wedge} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the right and middle vertical maps are injective by the assumption (iv)(a), and the maps ψ and ϕ are the natural ones. (The exactness of the lower sequence in the above diagram follows easily from the assumption (iv)(b).) In particular, the left vertical map is injective, and the equality $J(K) = \phi(H) \cap \psi(J(K)^{\wedge})$ holds inside $H^{\wedge}$. The image of $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)^{\wedge}$ in $H^{\wedge}$ via the map ψ is the element $(s^{\mathrm{ab}}_{c_1}, s^{\mathrm{ab}}_{c_2}) \in H^{\wedge} \subset H^1(G_{k(c_1)}, T\mathcal{J}_{c_1}) \times H^1(G_{k(c_2)}, T\mathcal{J}_{c_2})$ associated to the sections $s_{c_i} : G_{k(c_i)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_{c_i}, \xi_{c_i})$ (induced by the section s), for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, which are point-theoretic by the assumption (ii). In particular, $s^{\mathrm{ab}}_{c_i} \in \mathcal{J}_{c_i}(k(c_i))$ is geometric (cf. Lemma 1.2), and $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)$ is geometric by the above discussion. $\square$

We fix an embedding $\mathcal{X} \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \mathcal{J}$ mapping an element of $\mathcal{X}(C) = X(K)$ (which is non-empty by our assumptions) to the zero section.

Lemma 4.1.2. *The element $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \iota(X(K)) \subset J(K)$ is point-theoretic.*

Proof. For each closed point $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ the element $s^{\mathrm{ab}}_c \in \mathcal{J}(k(c))^{\wedge} \subset H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$ corresponding to the section $s_c : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$ lies in the subset $\iota(\mathcal{X}(k(c))) \subset \mathcal{J}(k(c)) \subset \mathcal{J}(k(c))^{\wedge}$, since the section s_c is point-theoretic by (ii). We view $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)$ as a rational section of $\mathcal{J} \to C$; in fact $s^{\mathrm{ab}} : C \to \mathcal{J}$ is a morphism since C is a smooth curve. For each closed point $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ the image $s^{\mathrm{ab}}(c)$ is a closed point of $\mathcal{X}_c \subset \mathcal{J}_c$, where we view $\mathcal{X}_c$ as a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{J}_c$ via the closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_c \stackrel{\iota_c}{\to} \mathcal{J}_c$ induced by ι. From this it follows that the morphism $s^{\mathrm{ab}} : C \to \mathcal{J}$ factorizes as $s^{\mathrm{ab}} : C \to \mathcal{X} \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \mathcal{J}$ and s^{ab} belongs to the subset $\iota(X(K)) \subseteq J(K)$. $\square$

Let $\tilde{x} \in X(K)$ such that $\iota(\tilde{x}) = s^{\mathrm{ab}}$ (cf. Lemma 4.1.2). For $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ let $\tilde{x}_c$ be the specialisation of $\tilde{x}$ in $\mathcal{X}_c$.

Lemma 4.1.3. *The equality $\tilde{x}_c = x_c$ holds in $\mathcal{X}(k(c))$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$.*

Proof. First, the equality $s^{\mathrm{ab}}_c = s^{\mathrm{ab}}_{\tilde{x}_c} = s^{\mathrm{ab}}_{x_c}$ holds in $H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$ (cf. Lemma 3.4). The equality $\tilde{x}_c = x_c$ follows then from the injectivity of the maps $\iota(\mathcal{X}_c) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_c(k(c)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_c(k(c))^{\wedge} \to H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$, for $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (see the assumption (iv)(a)). $\square$

Next, and in order to show that the section s is point-theoretic it suffices to show, by a well-know *limit argument* in anabelian geometry (cf. [Tamagawa], Proposition 2.8 (iv)), using the assumption (v) (cf. Definition 0.2), the following. Let $H \subseteq \pi_1(X, \xi)$ be an open subgroup such that $s(G_K) \subset H$, corresponding to an étale cover $Y \to X$, then $Y(K) \neq \emptyset$ holds. There is a natural identification $H = \pi_1(Y, \xi)$. Moreover, the cover $Y \to X$ extends to an étale cover $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth model of Y over C (cf. Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2). For a closed point $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ write $\mathcal{Y}_c \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{Y}_{k(c)}$. We will show that $Y(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $s' : G_K \to \pi_1(Y,\xi)$ be the section of $\pi_1(Y,\xi) = H$ induced by s, which extends to a section $s' : \pi_1(C,\xi) \to \pi_1(\mathcal{Y},\xi)$ of the projection $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y},\xi) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(C,\xi)$, and further induces a section $s'_c : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{Y}_c,\xi_c)$ of $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}_c,\xi_c)$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. loc. cit.). Note that s'_c is induced by the section s_c. The section s'_c is point-theoretic and arises from a unique rational point $y_c \in \mathcal{Y}_c(k(c))$. Moreover, x_c is the image of y_c in $\mathcal{X}_c$ via the morphism $\mathcal{Y}_c \to \mathcal{X}_c$ (cf. condition (ii), the fact that s_c is point-theoretic and arises from x_c, and s'_c is induced by s_c). View $\tilde{x} \in X(K) = \mathcal{X}(C)$ as a section $\tilde{x} : C \to \mathcal{X}$, and let $\mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{x}}$ be the scheme-theoretic inverse image of $\tilde{x}(C)$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ via the above étale map $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$. Thus, $\mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{x}} \to \tilde{x}(C)$ is a finite étale map. We have $y_c \in \mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{x}}(k(c))$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$, as follows from the various definitions. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{x}}(K) \neq \emptyset$ by the assumption (vi), and a fortiori $\mathcal{Y}_{\tilde{x}}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}(K) = Y(K) \neq \emptyset$.

Thus, we proved that $[s] = [s_x]$ holds in $\overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X,\xi)}$ for a (unique) $x \in X(K)$. The following follows from Lemma 4.1.3 (cf. above proof that φ_X is injective).

Lemma 4.1.4. *The equality $x = \tilde{x}$ holds.*

This finishes the proof of Theorem A. □

Finally, we show that Hilbertian fields satisfy the condition (vi).

Lemma 4.1.5. *Let k be a Hilbertian field. Then k strongly satisfies the condition (vi).*

Proof. Let k'/k be a finite extension and C a separated, smooth, and connected curve over k' with function field $K \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} k'(C)$. Let $\widetilde{C} \to C$ be a finite étale cover with $\widetilde{C}_c(k'(c)) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ with residue field $k'(c)$. Note that k' is Hilbertian (cf. [Serre], 9.5). We show $\widetilde{C}(K) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\widetilde{C}(K) = \emptyset$. Then for each connected component $\widetilde{C}_\alpha$ of $\widetilde{C}$ the degree of the morphism $\widetilde{C}_\alpha \to C$ is ≥ 2. Hilbert's irreducibility theorem (cf. [Serre], 9.2) implies that there exist points $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ such that the fibre of c in each connected component of $\widetilde{C}$ is irreducible. This contradicts the assumption that $\widetilde{C}_c(k(c)) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. Thus, $\widetilde{C}(K) \neq \emptyset$ holds. □

In the course of proving Theorem A we proved the following "*adelic Mordell-Lang*" statement.

Proposition 4.1.6. *With the same notations as above, assume that k only satisfies the condition (iv) in Definition 0.2 (where we take $k' = k$), and $\mathcal{X}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Then the map $J(K)^\wedge \to \prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} J_c(K_c)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} \mathcal{J}_c(k(c))^\wedge$ (cf. diagram (3.4) and Lemma 3.3) is injective. Further, inside $\prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} \mathcal{J}_c(k(c))^\wedge$ the equality $J(K)^\wedge \bigcap (\prod_{c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}} \iota(\mathcal{X}_c(k(c)))) = \iota(X(K))$ holds.*

Proof. See the statements and proofs of lemma 4.1.1 and Lemma 4.1.2. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem B. We briefly explain how Theorem B can be derived from Theorem A. Let k be a field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, and $K = k(C)$ the function field of a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k. Assume that k satisfies the condition $(\star)$ (cf. Definition 0.2). Let L/K be a finite extension. Then we prove the **SC** holds over L. We can, without loss of generality, assume that $L = K$. Let X be a proper, smooth, and hyperbolic curve over K, we need to prove that the **SC** holds for X, i.e., that the map (cf. §0) $\varphi_X : X(K) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X,\xi)}$ is *bijective*. The injectivity of the map φ_X follows as in the proof of Theorem A, where one only uses the fact that k strongly satisfies the condition (ii) (cf. loc. cit.)

Next, we prove that φ_X is *surjective*. Let $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X,\xi)$ be a *section* of $\pi_1(X,\xi)$. We need to show that s is *point-theoretic* under the above assumptions.

For this purpose we can, in the course of the proof, replace K by a finite extension L'/K. Indeed, let L'/K be a finite Galois extension, $s' : G_{L'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/L') \to \pi_1(X_{L'}, \xi)$ the section of $\pi_1(X_{L'}, \xi)$ which is induced by s, and assume that $s' = s_{x'}$ is point-theoretic where $x' \in X(L')$. Then $s'(G_{L'})$ is self-normalising in $\pi_1(X_{L'}, \xi)$, and $s(G_K)$ is contained in the normaliser of $s'(G_{L'})$ in $\pi_1(X, \xi)$ which coincides with a decomposition group associated to the image x of x' in X (this follows from condition $(\star)(iv)(a)$, cf. proof of Lemma 2.2.1 and the references therein). The point x is then necessarily K-rational. Now consider a finite (Galois) extension L'/K such that $X(L') \neq \emptyset$, then the corresponding section $s' : G_{L'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/L') \to \pi_1(X_{L'}, \xi)$ of $\pi_1(X_{L'}, \xi)$ which is induced by s is point-theoretic by Theorem A and our assumption that k satisfies the condition $(\star)$. From this it follows that s is point theoretic (cf. above discussion). $\square$

§5. Sections of arithmetic fundamental groups of curves over finitely generated fields in characteristic 0. In this section we combine the techniques/results in §3 and §4 with the results in [Saïdi-Tamagawa] to prove variants of Theorems A and B, as well as Theorem C. We use the notations introduced in previous sections. All fields in this section are *finitely generated over* $\mathbb{Q}$. In order to deduce the validity of the **SC** over finitely generated fields from its validity over number fields (which for the time being is still not known), one is reduced (by an induction argument) to prove the following.

Conjecture D. *Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all finitely generated fields of transcendence degree $i \geq 0$. then the* **SC** *holds over all finitely generated fields of transcendence degree $i + 1$.*

Next, we recall the *discrete Selmer conjecture* formulated in [Saïdi-Tamagawa]. Let k be a *finitely generated* field over $\mathbb{Q}$, C a separated, smooth, and geometrically connected curve over k, $K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(C)$ the function field of C, and

$$\mathcal{A} \to C$$

an *abelian scheme* over C. Write $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ for the generic fibre of $\mathcal{A}$. For a *closed* point $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$, let $k(c)$ be the residue field at c, and $\mathcal{A}_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}_{k(c)} = \mathcal{A} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, k(c)$. Then we have a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & A(K)^\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, TA) & \longrightarrow & TH^1(G_K, A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \prod_c \mathrm{res}_c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \prod_c \mathrm{res}_c} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_c \mathcal{A}_c(k(c))^\wedge & \longrightarrow & \prod_c H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{A}_c) & \longrightarrow & \prod_c TH^1(G_{k(c)}, \mathcal{A}_c) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal sequences are the Kummer exact sequences, the vertical maps are natural restriction homomorphisms, and the product is taken over all closed points $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. loc. cit. diagram (0.1)). Define the *profinite Selmer group*

$$\mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sel}(A, C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}\big(H^1(G_K, TA) \to \prod_c TH^1(G_{k(c)}, \mathcal{A}_c)\big),$$

and the *Shafarevich-Tate group*

$$Ш(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ш(A, C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Ker}\big(H^1(G_K, A) \to \prod_c H^1(G_{k(c)}, \mathcal{A}_c)\big),$$

where the product is taken over *all* closed points $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. For each $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ the group $\mathcal{A}(k(c))$ of $k(c)$-rational points of $\mathcal{A}$ is *finitely generated* as $k(c)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Mordell-Weil Theorem), hence injects into its profinite completion $\mathcal{A}(k(c))^{\wedge}$. We identify $\mathcal{A}(k(c))$ with its image in $\mathcal{A}(k(c))^{\wedge}$. Define the *discrete Selmer group* by

$$\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Sel}(A, C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) \bigcap \prod_c \mathcal{A}(k(c)) \subset \prod_c H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{A}_c),$$

where the product is taken over *all* closed points $c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. Note that $A(K) \subseteq \mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A})$. In [Saïdi-Tamagawa] we conjectured the following (cf. loc. cit. Conjecture E).

Conjecture E. The equality $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) = A(K)$ holds.

Moreover, we proved the following facts.

Facts. (i) $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A})$ is a *finitely generated* abelian group (cf. loc. cit. Proposition 2.5).
(ii) Assume that there exists a prime integer ℓ such that the ℓ-primary part $Ш(\mathcal{A})[\ell^{\infty}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Ш(\mathcal{A})[l^n]$ of $Ш(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ш(A, C)$ is *finite*. then $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) = A(K)$, i.e., Conjecture E holds in this case (cf. loc. cit. Proposition 3.7).
(iii) Assume that A is *isotrivial*, i.e., $A_{\overline{K}}$ is defined over $\bar{k}$, then $Ш(\mathcal{A})$ is *finite* (cf. loc. cit. Theorem 4.2). In particular, $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{A}) = A(K)$ holds, i.e., Conjecture E holds in this case (cf. above fact (ii)).

One of our main results in this paper is a (conditional) proof of Conjecture D. More precisely, we prove the following (cf. discussion after Corollary 5.3).

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that Conjecture* **E** *holds. Then Conjecture* **D** *holds true.*

In other words, Theorem 5.1 asserts that the validity of the above discrete Selmer conjecture implies that one can reduce the section conjecture **SC** over *all finitely generated fields* to the case of *number fields*. The following Corollaries follow immediately from Theorem 5.1, and the above cited Facts (ii), and (iii); respectively. (For the proof of Corollary 5.3, use an induction argument.)

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that the following holds. Given a finitely generated field k over $\mathbb{Q}$, a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k with generic point η, and an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A} \to C$ with generic fibre $A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A} \times_C \eta$, then there exists a prime integer ℓ such that the ℓ-primary part $Ш(\mathcal{A})[\ell^{\infty}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \geq 1} Ш(\mathcal{A})[l^n]$ of $Ш(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ш(A, C)$ is finite. Then Conjecture* **D** *holds true.*

Corollary 5.3. *Let K be a finitely generated field with algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\overline{K}$. Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all number fields. Then the* **SC** *holds for every projective smooth and geometrically connected hyperbolic curve X over K such that $X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, K} \mathrm{Spec}\, \overline{K}$ is defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1. To this end it suffices to prove the following (compare with Theorem A). (Note that the assumption $X(K) \neq \varnothing$ in Theorem 5.4 is not restrictive in order to deduce Theorem 5.1 from Theorem 5.4 (cf. arguments in the proof of Theorem B).)

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that the* **SC** *holds over all finitely generated fields of transcendence degree $i \geq 0$. Let k be a field with $\mathrm{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{Q}} k = i$, and $K = k(C)$ the function field of a separated, smooth, and connected curve C over k. Let $\mathcal{X} \to C$ be a flat, proper, and smooth relative curve with generic fibre $X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{X} \times_C \mathrm{Spec}\, K$ which is hyperbolic and geometrically connected, $J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/K}$, and $\mathcal{J} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{Pic}^0_{\mathcal{X}/C}$. Assume that $X(K) \neq \emptyset$, and either there exists a prime integer ℓ such that the ℓ-primary part $Ш(\mathcal{J})[\ell^\infty]$ of $Ш(\mathcal{J}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ш(J, C)$ is finite or $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{J}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Sel}(J, C) = J(K)$ holds. Then the* **SC** *holds for X (over K).*

Proof. The proof of the injectivity of the map $\varphi_X : X(K) \to \overline{\mathrm{Sec}}_{\pi_1(X,\xi)}$ is similar to the proof of injectivity in the statement of Theorem A (cf. discussion before Lemma 4.1.1). In what follows we prove φ is surjective. Let $s : G_K \to \pi_1(X, \xi)$ be a *section* of $\pi_1(X, \xi)$. We will show that s is *point-theoretic* under the above assumptions. We use the notations in §3. Recall that s induces a section $s_c : G_{k(c)} \to \pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$ of $\pi_1(\mathcal{X}_c, \xi_c)$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$ (cf. Lemma 3.2). By our assumption that the **SC** holds over all finitely generated fields of transcendence degree i we deduce that the section s_c is *point-theoretic* and arises from a unique rational point $x_c \in \mathcal{X}(k(c))$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. The element $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$ is then point-theoretic, hence is geometric (cf. Lemma 1.2). Thus, $s_c^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \mathcal{J}(k(c)) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(k(c))^\wedge \subseteq H^1(G_{k(c)}, T\mathcal{J}_c)$, $\forall c \in C^{\mathrm{cl}}$. In particular, $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in \mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{J}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Sel}(J, C)$ is in the *discrete Selmer group*. By our second assumption, that either there exists a prime integer ℓ such that the ℓ-primary part $Ш(\mathcal{J})[\ell^\infty]$ of $Ш(\mathcal{J}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Ш(J, C)$ is finite or $\mathfrak{Sel}(\mathcal{J}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Sel}(J, C) = J(K)$ holds (note that the former condition implies the latter one by Fact (ii) above), we deduce that $s^{\mathrm{ab}} \in J(K)$ is geometric. Moreover, a similar proof to that of Lemma 4.1.2 shows that s^{ab} lies in the subset $X(K)$ of $J(K)$ (cf. loc. cit.). The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem A (cf. Lemma 4.1.3 and Lemma 4.1.4).

This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.4, and Theorem 5.1. □

Remark 5.5. The condition in Theorem 5.4 involving $Ш(\mathcal{J})[\ell^\infty]$ is weaker than the condition in Theorem A and Corollary A involving $Ш(\mathcal{J})$.

References.

[Cadoret-Tamagawa] Torsion of abelian schemes and rational points on moduli spaces, In: Algebraic Number Theory and Related Topics 2007, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS) Kôkyûroku Bessatsu B12, Kyoto, 7-29, 2009.

[Grothendieck] Grothendieck, A., Brief an G. Faltings, (German), with an english translation on pp. 285-293, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 242, Geometric Galois actions, 1, 49-58, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[Grothendieck1] Grothendieck, A., Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental, Lecture Notes in Math. 224, Springer, Heidelberg, 1971.

[Hoshi-Mochizuki] Hoshi, Y., Mochizuki, S., On the combinatorial anabelian geometry of nodally nondegenerate outer representations, Hiroshima Math. J. 41 (2011), no.3, 375-342.

[Hoshi-Mochizuki1] Hoshi, Y., Mochizuki, S., Topics surrounding the combinatorial anabelian geometry of hyperbolic curves IV: discretness and sections. Manuscript, available in http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/ motizuki/papers-english.html, (2015).

[Lang-Tate] Lang, S., Tate, J., Principal homogeneous spaces over abelian varieties, American Journal of Mathematics, Volume 80, Issue 3, (1958), 659-684.

[Liu] Liu, Q., Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, Oxford graduate texts in mathematics 6. Oxford University Press, 2002.
[Mochizuki] Mochizuki, S., The local pro-p anabelian geometry of curves, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 2, 319–423.
[Mochizuki1] Mochizuki, S., The profinite Grothendieck conjecture for closed hyperbolic curves over number fields, J. Math. Sci., Univ. Tokyo 3 (1996), 571-627.
[Mochizuki2] Mochizuki, S., Galois sections in absolute anabelian geometry, Nagoya Math. J. 179 (2005), pp. 17-45.
[Poonen-Voloch] Poonen, B., Voloch, P., The Brauer-Manin obstruction for subvarieties of abelian varieties over function fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 1, 511-532.
[Saïdi] Saïdi, M., The cuspidalisation of sections of arithmetic fundamental groups, Advances in Mathematics, 230 (2012) 1931-1954.
[Saïdi1] Saïdi, M., Revêtements étale abéliens, courants sur les graphes et réduction semi-stable des courbes, Manuscripta Math. 89 (1996), no.2, 245-265.
[Saïdi2] Saïdi, M., On the Grothendieck anabelian section conjecture over finitely generated fields. Talk at the Utah Summer Research Institute on Algebraic Geometry 2015, video talk available on http://www.claymath.org/utah-videos.
[Saïdi-Tamagawa] Saïdi, M., Tamagawa, A., On the arithmetic of abelian varieties, arxiv:1512.00773.
[Serre] Serre, J-P., Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem, Translated and edited by Martin Brown from notes by Michel Waldschmidt, 2$^{\text{nd}}$ edition, Aspect of Mathematics, 1990.
[Tamagawa] Tamagawa, A., The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves, Compositio Math. 109 (1997), no. 2, 135–194.

Mohamed Saïdi
College of Engineering, Mathematics, and Physical Sciences
University of Exeter
Harrison Building
North Park Road
EXETER EX4 4QF
United Kingdom
M.Saidi@exeter.ac.uk